

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/849,181	49,181 05/20/2004		Masatsugu Yokote	023971-0422	7250
22428	7590	11/22/2006		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AT	ND LARI	ONER LLP	LUM VANNUCO	LUM VANNUCCI, LEE SIN YEE	
3000 K STR	EET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING			3611		

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/849,181	YOKOTE, MASATSUGU					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Lee Lum	3611					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro te, cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25.	September 2006.	•					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) remaining is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ 	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applic Ority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/849,181 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

1. Amendments were filed 8/23/06 and 9/25/06, the former including new Claims 12-14.

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The language "when the steering reaction force acts in a clockwise/counter-clockwise direction corresponding to a right/left direction" is not evident in the Spec, and thus constitutes new matter.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2 and 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurishige et al 6736236 in view of Adler et al 5469928.

Art Unit: 3611

Kurishige discloses a steering apparatus for controlling left and right wheels of a vehicle comprising

Steering wheel 1/101 (figs 24,1),

Power steering device 10 (fig 24),

Steering mechanism/rack-and-pinion system 8 (fig 24),

Steering reaction force calculating section (exemplified in c7, In 29-30; including determination of force "T-tran"),

Steering force correcting section to correct the steering assistance force so as to compensate the steering reaction force (c7, ln 7-15).

The reference does not disclose individual driving means for the left and right drive wheels for varying torque to each wheel. Adler shows this configuration with

motors 8,9 driving wheels 4,6, controlled by control section/controller 18, in accordance with the steering angle/turning direction (c6, ln 6-10), including increasing torque to the outer wheel, and decreasing the torque to the inner wheel, during a turn,

or in accordance with a running condition of the vehicle (c3, In 45-46),

wherein a difference between the driving forces is determined, as provided (at least) in c4, In 9-10; "difference in driving torques".

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include this driving arrangement, as shown in Adler, so to provide the proper determination of steering assistance force as dependent (at least) on the steering angle, thus increase accuracy of the steering reaction system. It is extremely well-known to increase the driving force of the outer wheel, and decrease that of the inner wheel, during a turn.

Re Claim 8, the references disclose a process for controlling left and right wheels of a vehicle, the steps derived from the structure/means described above.

4. The prior art considered pertinent, but not relied upon, includes: Shinmura 6497975.

Art Unit: 3611

5. Claims 3 and 4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Prior art does not disclose the invention above further comprising, *inter alia*, the steering force correcting section as decreasing the steering assist force when the driving force section decreases the force of the outer drive wheel outside of a turning radius.

As best understood, Claims 12-14 are not rejected under prior art.

6. RESPONSE TO REMARKS

Examiner provides slightly modified rejections for some claims as necessitated by amendment. Upon reconsideration, new rejections are provided for Claims 2 and 5 previously indicated as allowable, and *Examiner sincerely apologizes for the inconvenience*. Kurishige in view of Adler obviates the respective limitations, where the latter teaches an extremely well-known drive configuration including individual motors for the drive wheels, and adjustment of torque to the wheels dependent (at least) on their direction.

Applicant is asked to note allowable subject matter.

7. Communication with the Examiner/USPTO

Any inquiry concerning this communication, or others, should be directed to Ms. Lum at 571 272 6649, M-F, 9-5. If she can't be reached, her supervisor, Ms. Lesley Morris, may be reached at 571 272 6651. Our fax number is 571 273 8300.

Information re the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) System as follows: for unpublished apps - private PAIR only, for published apps - private or public PAIR. For more info on PAIR - http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. For more info on private PAIR - call the Electronic Business Center at 866 217 9197.

Ms. Lee Lum-Vannucci

Examiner 11/7/06